

TO: Mayor and Council Members
Marlene Best, City Manager
Shawn Hagerty, City Attorney

FROM: Annette Ortiz, CMC, City Clerk

DATE: January 11, 2023

SUBJ: Updated Council Meeting Materials – January 11, 2023

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT:

The attached correspondence for Non-Agenda Public Comment was received and is provided for your consideration.



From: noreply@cityofsanteeca.gov on behalf of [Santee, CA](#)
To: [Clerk Info](#)
Subject: Non-Agenda Comments for 1/1/2023 Meeting - SDG&E Increases Help
Date: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 10:18:38 PM

Message submitted from the <Santee, CA> website.

Site Visitor Name: Alison Liebrecht

Site Visitor Email: [REDACTED]

As we begin the first sessions of 2023, I humbly ask you to review the following and also have more serious discussions with SDG&E and other utility companies for assistance.

Speaking from our family- we installed solar in order to help our community, the environment, and hopefully save money in the long run as we utilize Santee's mostly sunny days. We have an older constructed house (~1955). SDGE is going to effectively triple our natural gas bill this month:

- November Gas Bill: \$28.28
- December Gas Bill: \$41.19
- January Predicted Gas bill. \$145-165
- We keep our thermostat at 66 degrees and wear warm clothes and still are getting hikes.
 - o This is part of why privatizing a public utility is a terrible mistake. Allowing our governor to appoint the members of the CPUC is also an absolute mistake. Look up where these people have worked, or went to work after they left the CPUC (conflict of interest).
 - o Additionally they are about to change things for home solar, and the CPUC is going to pass it allowing SDG&E /Sempra to make even more money:

(2 photos that could not be attached on this form)

We're trying to do everything right and are being penalized for conserving and helping add energy to the grid. I know we're not alone in this because our neighbors talk about this often with us. For now, our family is OK even though this gouging is definitely NOT tolerable, and we need your help to have our voices heard- as our neighbors and especially for our less fortunate neighbors.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Please feel free to contact me via email or phone with any questions. You have my permission to use these examples when discussing them with these organizations to build our case against these unfair increases.

Your neighbor in District 1,

~Alison Liebrecht

From: [Barbara Gordon](#)
To: [City Clerk](#)
Subject: Non-agenda Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, January 11, 2023 11:06:48 AM

Dear City Council Members,

I'm a public health educator. I'm here to express my concerns about high potency marijuana. I realize when city's voted to legalize the sale of marijuana it was looking for a source of revenue and to satisfy the loud vocal pro marijuana constituency.

But in doing so it disregards the FDA and related federal government health-care agencies who have rejected the conclusion that marijuana is a "safe" and "effective" drug for any purpose. Even the Biden Administration reiterated that conclusion as recently as April 2022.

Today's marijuana can contain up to 99% THC, with products such as wax, shatter (called dabs) or distilled into oil that are vaped. Marijuana might start as a plant, but these products are created in a lab, and there's nothing natural about them!

Numerous studies and meta-analyses have shown that the use of high-potency products is associated with mental health disorders, addiction, risk for motor vehicle accidents, psychotic symptoms and violence.

The failure of lawmakers to address the potential problems created by the high-potency products that are marketed in San Diego is a clear failure of the city's responsibilities.

I urge the Council to limit the availability of high-potency marijuana as part of your ordinance to protect the health and safety of all residents.

Thank you,

Barbara Gordon